



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/023,711

12/21/2001

Akira Imaizumi

217576US0

6895

38108

7590

08/10/2006

CERMAK & KENEALY LLP

ACS LLC

515 EAST BRADDOCK ROAD

SUITE B

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1652

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/023,711

Applicant(s)

IMAIZUMI ET AL.

Examiner

Christian L. Fronda

Art Unit

1652

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 10-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,7,10 and 12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6 and 11 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1652

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 6, 7, and 10-12 are under consideration in this Office Action. New grounds of rejection and objection are presented in the instant Office Action.
2. The rejection of claims 1, 6, 7, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement has been withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments filed 05/05/2006.
3. The previous grounds of rejection on claims 7 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement have been withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments filed 05/05/2006. However, new grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, enablement are presented below.
4. Claims 1, 6, 7, and 10-12 are objected to for reciting "RMF" without defining the abbreviation in the claims. Appropriate correction is requested where the definition of the abbreviation is recited in the claims.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph*

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:  
The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
6. Claims 1, 7, 10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for producing L-lysine, L-glutamic acid, and L-tryptophan using an *Escherichia coli* bacterium whose endogenous RMF protein is mutated so that the RMF protein is inactive; does not reasonably provide enablement for any other embodiment as recited in the claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1652

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in *re Wands* [858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)]. The *Wands* factors are: (a) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (b) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (c) the presence or absence of working example, (d) the nature of the invention, (e) the state of the prior art, (f) the relative skill of those in the art, (g) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (h) the breadth of the claim.

The nature and breadth of the claims encompass any method for the production of any L-amino acid using an *Escherichia coli* bacterium whose endogenous RMF protein is mutated so that the RMF protein is inactive.

The specification provides guidance and working examples for an *Escherichia coli* bacterium having its endogenous RMF protein inactivated which produces L-lysine (Example 2 of the instant specification), L-glutamic acid (shown in the declaration of Akira Imaizumi filed 03/28/2005), and L-tryptophan (shown in the declaration of Akira Imaizumi filed 05/05/2006). However, the specification does not provide guidance, prediction, and working examples for any other L-amino acid that can be produced by an *Escherichia coli* bacterium having its endogenous RMF protein inactivated.

Thus, an undue amount of trial and error experimentation must be preformed where such experimentation involves inactivating the endogenous RMF protein in any *E.coli* bacterium, culturing the bacterium in any medium, and searching and screening for any L-amino acid that can be produced. General teaching regarding screening and searching for the claimed invention is not guidance for making the claimed invention.

The Examiner finds that one skilled in the art would require additional guidance, such as information regarding the specific amino acids that can be produced by an *E.coli* bacterium that has an inactivated RMF protein. Without such a guidance, the amount of experimentation left to those skilled in the art to make the invention is undue and well outside of routine experimentation.

### ***Conclusion***

7. No claim is allowed.

8. Claim 6 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1652

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L Fronda whose telephone number is (571)272-0929. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between 9:00AM - 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura N Achutamurthy can be reached on (571)272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLF

  
TEKCHAND SAIDHA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER